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Conversion Factors, Abbreviated Water Quality Units, and Vertical Datum

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Multiply</th>
<th>By</th>
<th>To Obtain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>centimeter (cm)</td>
<td>.3937</td>
<td>inch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>meter (m)</td>
<td>3.281</td>
<td>foot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hectare (ha)</td>
<td>2.471</td>
<td>acre</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Flow (volume per unit time)

cubic meter per second (m³/s) 35.31 cubic foot per second
centimeter per second (cm/s) 10⁵ gallons per day per foot squared (gal/day/ft²)

Temperature

Degree Celsius (°C) may be converted to degree Fahrenheit (°F) by use of the following equation:

°F = (1.8 x °C) + 32
Abbreviated water-quality units

Chemical concentrations are given in metric units. Chemical concentration is given in milligrams per liter (mg/L), micrograms per liter (µg/L), and milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg). Milligrams per liter is a unit expressing the concentration of chemical constituents in solution as a weight (milligrams) of solute per unit volume (liter) of water. Likewise, micrograms per liter is a unit expressing the concentration of chemical constituents in solution as a weight (micrograms) of solute per unit volume (liter) of water. One thousand micrograms per liter is equivalent to one milligram per liter. For concentrations less than 7,000 mg/L, the numerical value is the same as for concentrations in parts per million. Milligrams per kilogram is a unit expressing the concentration of chemical constituents as dry weight (milligrams) of analyte per unit weight (kilograms) of dry sediment.

Vertical Datum

In this report, “sea level” refers to the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 (NGVD of 1929)—a geodetic datum derived from a general adjustment of the first-order level nets of the United States and Canada, formerly called Sea Level Datum of 1929.

Any use of trade, product, or firm names in this publication is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.
Contaminant Distribution in Sediments and Ground Water on and near Grassy Island

By Michael J. Sweat

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

In April 1997, samples of soil were collected at 10 sites, distributed in a grid over Grassy Island for analysis of pesticides, polychlorinated biphenyls, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, and heavy metals. The objective of the project was to characterize the chemical composition and distribution of contaminants in sediments at various depths and locations on the Island, and to investigate the potential for contaminant transport in ground water. Sediment samples were collected at 2 offshore sites and 8 onshore sites; water samples were collected at 1 offshore and 4 onshore sites. Water levels were measured at all sites. A total of 30 samples were collected for analysis.

Analyses of sediment samples were made for 28 pesticides, of which 25 were detected; analyses of sediment samples were made for 64 semivolatile organic compounds, of which 24 were detected; and analyses of sediment samples were made for 33 volatile organic compounds, of which 9 were detected. Analyses of sediment samples were made for 23 metals, of which 9 were detected.

Analyses of water samples were made for 28 pesticides, of which 11 were detected; analyses of water were made for 64 semivolatile organic compounds, of which 6 were detected; and analyses of water were made for 33 volatile organic compounds, of which 4 were detected. Analyses of water samples were made for 23 metals, of which 16 were detected.

Water levels were measured in an attempt to determine hydraulic gradients on Grassy Island. In general water levels in the river sediments were lower than those in the sediments situated between the inner and outer dikes; likewise, water levels in the sediments between the dikes were lower than were water levels in the sediments within the inner dike. Average water levels were all below land surface.

Contaminant concentrations show little, if any, trend with depth. Native parent materials generally contain only background levels of most trace metals, although the level of some organic contaminants is elevated. Using guidelines developed by the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality, the sediments were rated with respect to their level of contamination. Sediments were found to range from not impacted by arsenic and manganese, to extremely contaminated by mercury. They were moderately impacted by chromium and iron, and severely contaminated by lead and zinc. Sediments were found to be extremely contaminated by polychlorinated biphenyls and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons.

Contaminant concentrations in water exceeded United States Environmental Protection Agency safe-drinking water guidelines for cadmium, iron, lead, and manganese. They were also exceeded for aluminum at 3 sites and barium at 1 site. Contaminant concentrations in water also exceed the guidelines for polychlorinated biphenyls.

There are 2 surface-water impoundments on Grassy Island with the potential to transmit contaminants off the Island during periods of precipitation and overland flow. There is an overflow weir on the northeast corner of the Island. Large numbers of waterfowl were observed on these impoundments. These surface-water impoundments were not sampled.
Additional samples of sediments and water are needed upstream, downstream, lateral to, and on the Island. Further studies of water quality are needed to identify the contaminants, if any, that are present in ponded surface water, and in water being discharged from the Island through the overflow weir. Event sampling of surface-water flow and quality in overland runoff and at the overflow weir is needed to document any connection between ponds on the north end of the Island and the overflow weir, and to document the quality and quantity of discharges to the Detroit River through the weir. Additional piezometers and wells are needed on the Island, around its perimeter, and in the Detroit River to determine the groundwater surface and the potential for movement of groundwater into either the Detroit River or underlying sediments.

INTRODUCTION

Grassy Island is part of the Wyandotte Unit of the Shiawassee National Wildlife Refuge (NWR) and administered by the Shiawassee NWR. Located in the Detroit River (fig. 1), it was selected in 1995 by the U.S. Department of the Interior as a hazardous materials management (HAZMAT) demonstration site for clean up of Federal lands. In fiscal 1997 and 1998, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) Refuge Clean-Up Fund provided funding for a study of sediment and water quality on and near Grassy Island.

This report summarizes results of sediment and water sampling conducted by the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) on and near Grassy Island in May and June 1997. Sediment and water samples were collected for the analysis of selected physical and chemical parameters in an attempt to determine (1) the distribution of contaminants in both sediments and groundwater, (2) whether contaminants were being transported off of the Island into surrounding sediments and waters, and (3) the potential for exposure of fish and wildlife to contaminants contained on-site. Results of laboratory analyses are presented in tables at the end of the report.

PREVIOUS WORK

Scientific work prior to 1997 on Grassy Island and in the Detroit River in the area surrounding Grassy Island was summarized by the USGS Biological Resources Division (BRD) (Manny, 1999a). In April 1997, samples of soil were collected at 40 sites, distributed in a grid over Grassy Island for analysis of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and heavy metals by the BRD (Manny, 1999b). At the time of this project, an investigation of wild-celery tuber abundance in shoals surrounding Grassy Island was being conducted by BRD (Manny, 1999b).

STUDY METHODS

Borings were made by hand auger at 10 sites both on and offshore of the Island. Eight sites are located on Grassy Island (fig. 1) and 2 sites are located offshore of the Island, one each on the north and south ends of the Island. From these borings sediment samples were collected at intervals representing the unsaturated zone, the saturated zone, and at some sites native parent material. Sediment samples were split for duplicate analysis at two sites (7GI 8 and 7GI 10).

Water samples were collected offshore at the south end of the Island, both from a piezometer and from the Detroit River. Water samples were collected at 4 onshore sites (7GI 4, 7GI 5, 7GI 6 and 7GI 11). Water samples were split for duplicate analysis at 1 site (7GI 11).

Sediment samples were collected from holes dug with a hand auger using a 14
cm-diameter (5.5 in) bucket. Holes were dug until water was observed in the hole. All materials removed from the hole above the water level were then placed into a stainless steel bowl that had been washed with deionized water (DIW), rinsed with hexane, triple rinsed with organic solvent free water and allowed to air dry. The sample was homogenized, using a stainless steel spoon that had been cleaned in the same manner as the core barrel and the bowl, and then split into sample jars. The jars were then sealed, labeled, and placed into a cooler with ice to chill and maintain them at approximately 4 °C (39 °F).

The hole was then continued below the water level until the sidewalls were no longer competent. At this point, a 13.3 cm (5.24 in) outside-diameter polyvinyl chloride (PVC) casing was inserted into the hole and pushed until resistance stopped down-hole progress. A 6.4-cm diameter (2.52 in), 61 cm (24 in) long split-spoon core barrel was then inserted into the PVC casing and coring continued until the core barrel was full. Each time the core barrel was full, the casing was driven down past the end of the core barrel and the core barrel was then extracted from the hole. In this way, slumping of the hole was prevented, and the material in the core barrel was representative of the depth through which it was driven.

At approximately 2.5-3 m (8-10 ft) below ground-surface, a second sample was collected. Prior to and after collecting the sample, the core barrel was disassembled and cleaned with DIW, rinsed with hexane, triple rinsed with organic solvent free water, and allowed to air dry. When the core-barrel components were dry, the core barrel was reassembled and inserted into the casing to obtain a sample. The core barrel was driven into the sediment until full and then extracted from the hole. Upon extraction, the barrel was disassembled and the contents emptied into a stainless steel bowl, processed, and sampled as described previously.

Sediment samples were also collected at approximately 4.3-4.9 m (14-16 ft) depth, and in some holes at approximately 5.8-6.4 m (19-21 ft) depth. This last depth was typically in a material that by appearance and texture was identified as parent material, or sediment that was present prior to deposition of fill materials on Grassy Island.

Upon completion of sediment sampling, a short riser, if needed, was added to each PVC casing and a cap was installed to prevent foreign material from entering the well. A small annulus was created around the casing at ground surface, and bentonite-clay well seal was placed in the annulus and built up on the ground surface around the casing to prevent the infiltration of water around the casing.

Samples of river-bottom sediments were collected both upstream and downstream of the Island by use of a clay auger. The auger was turned into the bottom of the river to 1.5 times the length of the auger bucket (about 60 cm) and extracted. The river-bottom sediments were dense enough that little, if any, loss was experienced during transport through the water column. When on the boat, river-bottom sediment was composited, processed, and sampled as described above.

Piezometers (see for example Freeze and Cherry, 1979, p. 23) were installed in the river both upstream and downstream of the Island by use of stainless steel drive points. Drive points were attached to 1 m (3.3 ft) lengths of number 10 slot stainless steel well screen, which were in turn attached to 3 m (10 ft) lengths of stainless steel pipe. Drive points, screens, and pipe were then driven into the river-bottom sediments with a drive shoe until refusal. At all times the top of the casing for each piezometer was above the level of the river. All threaded joints were sealed with
Teflon® tape and turned tight with chain wrenches. Casings were left about 1 m (3.3 ft) above the water surface and capped with stainless steel, threaded caps. After installation of each piezometer, all water was pumped from the piezometer so that subsequent water observed in the piezometer would be from the river-bottom sediments, and not the river. This was confirmed by observation of water levels in both the piezometer and the river, and by observing the recovery rates of water levels in the piezometers after pumping water out.

At 4 of the onshore sites, water samples were collected from the well at a later date. Water samples were collected by placing a length of Teflon™ lined tubing into the casing to below the water surface. This tubing was then connected to a peristaltic pump, which lifted water from the well. Water from the well was discharged to the ground about 10 m (33 ft) from the casing.

The peristaltic pump was run long enough to discharge the equivalent of 3 well volumes of water before samples were collected. At one site the well did not recharge fast enough to allow this purging to take place, and all water was pumped from the well. This well was capped and allowed to recover overnight, and then pumped again. It was then allowed to recover overnight again, and pumped a third time. After allowing recovery overnight a third time, the well was pumped and the water collected into appropriate sample containers for laboratory analysis. Each container was capped and placed in a cooler with ice to maintain sample temperature at approximately 4 °C (39 °F). In this manner enough water was collected to complete all required analyses.

All samples were labeled in the field as they were collected, and stored under controlled conditions at a stable temperature (4 °C (39 °F)). At the end of each week of fieldwork, samples were packed with ice in an insulated cooler and shipped via overnight courier to the contract laboratory at Texas A&M University (TAMU). This laboratory is under contract to USFWS and reports to the Patuxent Analytical Control Facility (PACF) Contract Laboratory Program (CLP). A laboratory quality assurance plan is on file at the East Lansing Field Office (ELFO) of USFWS for this laboratory. All analytical results from this laboratory were reported to PACF and subsequently to ELFO. After review by ELFO personnel the results were transmitted to the USGS, Water Resources Division (WRD) for analysis and interpretation.

**DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS**

Thirty samples of sediment were collected at 10 locations, and analyzed in the laboratory for the presence of trace metals, semi-volatile and volatile organics, and pesticides (table 1). Seven samples of water were collected at 5 locations, and were analyzed in the laboratory for the presence of trace metals, semi-volatile and volatile organics, and pesticides (table 1). Because not all analytes listed in table 1 were detected in the samples, only those analytes that were detected are shown in subsequent tables of results.

**Sediment**

Boreholes were completed at 8 onshore sites and 2 offshore sites (figure 1). Onshore sites were cased and capped for future use. The location of each site was recorded for future reference using global positioning system (GPS) coordinates. A
The number of sediment samples collected at each site varied from 1 to 4, depending on the location of the site. Only 1 sample was collected from each offshore site; a minimum of 3 samples were collected at each onshore site, with either parent material samples or split samples collected at 4 of the sites. Lithologic descriptions of the sediment samples and their depths below land surface are presented in table 3.

Laboratory analyses were made on each sediment sample for metals, semivolatile and volatile organics, and pesticides. The complete list of analytes is given in table 1. Results of these analyses are given in tables 4 through 7. Only those analytes that were detected above the method-reporting limit are listed in tables 4 through 7. All other analytes listed in table 1, but not in tables 4 through 7 were either not present, or were present in quantities above the method detection limit but below the method-reporting limit.

Of 23 metals for which analyses were made, 9 were detected in sediment samples (table 4). The most commonly detected metals were aluminum, iron, lead, manganese, and mercury. Arsenic, chromium, and zinc were also frequently detected. Analyses were made for 64 semivolatile organic compounds, of which 23 were detected (table 5). Analyses were made for 33 volatile organic compounds, of which 9 were detected (table 6). Of these 9 volatile organic compounds detected, 5 of them were detected in only 1 sample (1 compound detected) or 2 samples (4 compounds detected). Analyses were made for 28 pesticides, of which 25 were detected (table 7); however, 13 of the pesticides detected were in 4 or fewer samples.

Additional water samples were collected from sites 7GI 4, 7GI 5, 7GI 6, and 7GI 11. The sample from 7GI 11 was split into 2 samples for comparison purposes. Samples at each of these sites were collected as previously described from the PVC casing that was installed in each borehole.

Laboratory analyses were made on each water sample for metals, semivolatile and volatile organics, and pesticides. The complete list of analytes is given in table 1. Results of water analyses are given in tables 8 through 11. Only those analytes that were present above the method-reporting limit are shown in tables 8 through 11; all other analytes listed in table 1 but not in tables 8 through 11 either were not present or were present in quantities below the method-reporting limit.

Of 23 metals for which analyses were conducted, 16 were detected in water samples (table 8). The most commonly detected
metals were aluminum, calcium, iron, magnesium, manganese, sodium and zinc. Analyses were made for 64 semivolatile organic compounds, of which 6 were detected (table 9). Of these 6 semivolatile organic compounds detected, 4 of them were detected in only 1 or 2 samples. Analyses were made for 33 volatile organic compounds, of which 4 were detected (table 10). Analyses were made for 27 pesticides, of which 11 were detected (table 11); however, 7 of the pesticides detected were in 2 or fewer samples.

Water Levels

Water levels were measured in an attempt to determine hydraulic gradients on the Island. Sites 7GI 2 and 7GI 13 (figure 1) are located in the Detroit River, and sites 7GI 3 and 7GI 4 are located between the outer and inner dike. All other sites are located within the inner dike. Water levels are shown in the table below, and represent the average water level measured at each site. Between 1 and 8 water levels were measured, depending on when the piezometer was installed.

Average water levels and land surface altitude at piezometer sites on Grassy Island.[Altitude in meters above sea level]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Well number</th>
<th>Land surface altitude</th>
<th>Average water level altitude</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GI12</td>
<td>173.65</td>
<td>172.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7GI3</td>
<td>175.81</td>
<td>175.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7GI4A</td>
<td>176.46</td>
<td>175.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7GI5A</td>
<td>177.78</td>
<td>176.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7GI6</td>
<td>178.43</td>
<td>177.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7GI7</td>
<td>178.76</td>
<td>177.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7GI8</td>
<td>179.74</td>
<td>178.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7GI9</td>
<td>179.09</td>
<td>177.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7GI10</td>
<td>179.74</td>
<td>178.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7GI11</td>
<td>179.09</td>
<td>178.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Land surface within the outer dike was approximately 1 m (3.3 ft) above the level of the Detroit River at the time the wells were installed and water levels measured (figure 2). The top of the inner dike is about 6.1 m (20 ft) higher than the top of the outer dike (Ray Timm, Geographic Information & Solutions, Inc., personal commun., 1998). The land surface within the inner dike is about 4.6 m (15 ft) higher than that within the outer dike (Ray Timm, GI&S, inc., oral commun., 1998).

In general, water levels in the river sediments were lower than those in the sediments situated between the dikes. Likewise, water levels in the sediments between the dikes were lower than were water levels in the sediments within the inner dike. At three locations within the inner dike, one or more water levels were measured that were above the land surface. Average water levels were all below land surface. All of these water level measurements indicate that there is a hydraulic gradient from within the inner dike toward the area within the outer dike, and consequently to the Detroit River.

**DISTRIBUTION OF CONTAMINANTS**

In general, recent studies of sediments on and near Grassy Island have found them to be grossly contaminated (Ostaszewski, 1997; Manny, 1999b) with metals, semivolatile and volatile organic compounds, pesticides, and arachlores (PCBs). Historical studies of the Island and surrounding waters and sediments have produced mixed findings (Manny, 1999a). Beyer and Stafford (1993) found that earthworms and soils on Grassy Island contained elevated concentrations of cadmium, copper, and lead, with levels in earthworms several times those in the surrounding soils. Best and others (1992) found elevated concentrations of some heavy met-
Figure 1. Map showing sample locations on Grassy Island, Michigan.
Figure 2. Generalized cross-section showing average water levels in piezometers on and near Grassy Island, land surface altitude, and average Detroit River altitude May-June, 1998.
als and aroclors (PCBs) in pond sediments. Concentrations of chlordane, DDE, DDD, phenanthrene, and benzo(g,h,i)perylene exceeded criteria established to protect ground water, and aroclor (PCB) and chlordane levels exceeded criteria established to protect surface water. Best and others (1992) and Eisler (1988) found elevated levels of aroclors (PCBs), DDT, and mercury in tissue and organ samples from waterfowl taken on the Island.

Other studies, however, have had contradictory findings, and lead to mixed conclusions about the level of contamination in surrounding sediments and waters. Thornley and Hamdy (1984) found only mercury in sediments immediately upstream and downstream of Grassy Island. A study for the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Environmental Research Group, 1983) found no contamination of sediments immediately next to the Island and in other nearshore sediments in the shipping channel east of the Island; however, U.S. EPA studies in 1987 and again in 1991 (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1987, 1991) contradict these findings. These U.S. EPA studies found that there was “some impact to sediments” from oil and grease, heavy metals, PAHs, and PCBs. However, a later study by Besser and others (1996) of sediments from the same area concurs with the earlier findings of Thornley and Hamdy (1984), and concludes that “sediments of the Fighting Island reference site were not toxic…and included pollution-intolerant taxa.” Finally, a study by Environment Canada (1997) found that concentrations of DDE and PCBs in Herring Gull eggs have “decreased significantly, to less than 15 percent of their 1971 values,” indicating that levels of organochlorine contaminants have decreased in Detroit River fish consumed by Herring Gulls.

Lewis (1991) used geophysical methods to survey the southwest corner of the Island and detected possible buried drums at a depth of 6-9 m (20-30 ft). This finding is of interest as a possible source of organic contaminants. No further work was completed to confirm or refute these findings.

**Contaminants in sediment**

Aluminum, iron, lead, manganese, mercury, and zinc were the most commonly detected metals in sediments, generally present at levels tens to hundreds of times greater than the method-reporting limit. Contaminant concentrations show little, if any, trend with either depth or location. For example, iron concentrations tend to increase with depth at sites 8, 9, and 10, but at sites 5, 6, and 11, after increasing from the surface (unsaturated) to the first sample at depth (saturated), iron concentrations then decrease with subsequently deeper samples through the saturated zone. Lead behaves similarly, but at different sites. Lead concentrations increase with depth at sites 5 and 10, but decrease at sites 4, 8, and 9, and increase and then decrease at sites 6 and 11. At site 7, lead concentrations decrease from the surface (unsaturated) to the first sample in the saturated zone, then increase again deeper in the saturated zone. More extensive sampling, both vertically and laterally, might show presently undetected patterns to contaminant distribution.

Native parent materials generally contain only background levels of most trace metals, and do not appear to be impacted by overlying materials. Although arsenic was reported in the parent material samples, it was detected below the method-reporting limit of 10 µg/kg, and was not present in 2 of the 5 native parent material samples. The median arsenic level present in native parent materials was 1.65 µg/kg, with a mean level of 1.21 µg/kg. Lead was reported present in 3 of 5 native parent material samples, with a median level of 2.09 µg/kg, which is below the method reporting limit of 3 µg/kg. The mean level, 2.92 µg/kg, is also below the method-
reporting limit. Mercury was present in all but one of the sediment samples from the Island, but was not present in any of the native parent material samples.

At site 5, 11 of 23 detected semivolatile organic compounds were detected in the native parent sample material, and at site 11, 6 of 23 detected semivolatile organic compounds were detected in the native parent sample material. At site 5 the concentrations range from less than twice the reporting limit for 4-chlorophenylphenylether (609 µg/kg detected, 330 µg/kg reporting limit) to over 7 times the reporting limit for fluoranthene (2471 µg/kg detected, 330 µg/kg method reporting limit). At site 11, all values are less than twice the method-reporting limit.

The volatile organic compound methylene chloride was detected in 3 of 5 native parent material samples. In general, methylene chloride was either present in every sample from a site (sites 2,4,6,7,8, and 13) or not present in any samples from a site (sites 5 and 9). It was present in one of two split samples from site 10, and all but the native parent material samples at site 11. Only 2 other substances were present in any native parent material samples. They were 4-methyl-2-pentanone, which was present in native parent material from site 11 at 3 times the method-reporting limit, and 2-hexanone, which was present in native parent material from site 4 at 6 times the method-reporting limit. In general, volatile organic compounds were present in few of the samples, and generally at depth when they were detected.

From 1993-96, Ostaszewski (1997) studied sediment chemistry in the Trenton Channel, which lies along the west side of Grassy Island (figure 1). One result of this study was a classification of contaminated sediments. Ostaszewski’s table A (1997), lists parameters and guideline levels used to evaluate Trenton Channel project sediment results. These guidelines have been applied to sediments collected on and near Grassy Island for this project. The quantification limits (QL) and aquatic life guidelines given by Ostaszewski (1997) are in ppm, as are the results of the sediment analyses for this study. The numbers are therefore directly comparable.

Exceedances were calculated for all analytes, following the method of Ostaszewski.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bioaccumulative Parameters</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>QL 1</th>
<th>Note</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mercury</td>
<td>Hg</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>USEPA Method 245.1/7470.7471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polychlorinated Biphenols(sic)</td>
<td>PCBs</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>USEPA Method 608/8081</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Toxic Parameters</th>
<th>Aquatic Life Guidelines (ppm d.w.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parameter</td>
<td>Symbol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic</td>
<td>As</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td>Cd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium</td>
<td>Cr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>Cu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron</td>
<td>Fe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel</td>
<td>Ni</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese</td>
<td>Mn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>Pb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>Zn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil and Grease</td>
<td>O &amp; G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyaromatic Hydrocarbons</td>
<td>PAHs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
wski (1997), for 7 metals, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs). Exceedances are defined by Ostaszewski (1997) as the sum of detections for all analytes that exceed the quantification limit (QL), the effects range median guidelines (ERM - Long and Morgan, 1990), or the severe effect levels (SEL - Persaud and others, 1993), divided by the QL, ERM, or SEL. Ostaszewski (1997) then divided the results into 5 categories, also shown below.

Parameters and guideline levels used to evaluate Trenton Channel Project sediment results. (from Ostaszewski, 1997).

Sediments on Grassy Island were found to range from not impacted by arsenic and manganese, to extremely contaminated by mercury. They were moderately impacted by chromium and iron, and severely contaminated by lead and zinc. Although arsenic was detected in 16 of 30 samples for which it was analyzed, it was not present at levels equal to or greater than the ERM, and therefore the sediments, by Ostaszewski's criteria, are not impacted. Likewise for manganese, which was detected in 26 of 30 samples for which it was analyzed. Ostaszewski did not establish parameters and guideline levels for evaluating contamination by aluminum or selenium.

PAHs, the sum of 16 organic contaminants for which analyses were made, were found at levels that cause the sediments to be classified as extremely contaminated. PCBs, the sum of 6 aroclors, were present at levels that cause the sediments to be classified as extremely contaminated.

No pesticides or aroclors (PCBs) were detected in any samples of native parent material. In general, pesticides and aroclors were noticeably absent most samples except for samples taken in the saturated zone at sites 10 and 11. Both of these sites contained numerous pesticides and aroclors, generally at the deepest and second from deepest samples at site 10, and at the first saturated sample at site 11.

**Contaminants in Water**

Aluminum, calcium, chromium, copper, iron, magnesium, manganese, sodium, and zinc were the most commonly

Classifications of contaminated sediment sites as applied to the Trenton Channel Project sediment survey results (1993-1996). (from Ostaszewski, 1997).

---

**Not Impacted**

Summed Toxic ERM/SEL\(^1\) and Bioaccumulative QL\(^2\) exceedances less than one, (<1)

**Impacted**

Summed Toxic ERM/SEL and Bioaccumulative QL exceedances between 1 and 15

**Moderately Impacted**

Summed Toxic ERM/SEL and Bioaccumulative QL exceedances between 15 and 30

**Severely Contaminated**

Summed Toxic ERM/SEL and Bioaccumulative QL exceedances between 30 and 60

**Extremely Contaminated**

Summed Toxic ERM/SEL and Bioaccumulative QL exceedances greater than sixty, (>60)

---

1. ERM/SEL – Effects Range Median/Severe Effects Level
2. QL – Quantification Limit
detected metals in water. In water, concentrations of trace metals followed those of the sediments from which the water was extracted. Aluminum, calcium, chromium, copper, iron, magnesium, manganese, potassium, sodium, and zinc were the most frequently reported trace metals in water samples. Calcium, iron, magnesium, sodium, and zinc were the only metals reported in the one sample of river water. Arsenic and barium were present only in the split samples from site 11; mercury was reported in water from site 5, although at only slightly more than the method-reporting limit (0.23634 µg/L reported, 0.2 µg/L method-reporting limit).

Although the classification system developed by Ostaszewski (1997) was for sediments, it was applied to the results obtained for chemical analyses of water for this study. In addition, results of analyses were compared to U.S. EPA drinking water standards (USEPA, 1996). Using the classification of Ostaszewski, all water samples would be classified as not impacted. Using data from tables 8, comparison to U.S. EPA drinking water standards indicates that cadmium, iron, lead, and manganese exceed these standards at 1, 5, 3 and 4 sites respectively. In addition, drinking water standards are established for aluminum and barium; aluminum concentrations in water exceed these standards at 3 sites and barium concentrations exceed these standards at 1 site. The Michigan Department of Public Health has established an objectionable level for sodium of 250 mg/L. Comparing the objectionable level to data from table 8 indicates that sodium concentrations in water do not exceed this level.

Phenol was the only semivolatile organic compound reported in water not originating on the Island. In general, semivolatile organic compounds were reported at or slightly above the method-reporting limit for those compounds that were detected. Bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate was the most commonly detected semivolatile organic compound, present in water samples from all but sites 6 and 13.

As with sediment sample results, methylene chloride was the most commonly detected volatile organic compound, reported in all water samples except that from the Detroit River. Acetone was the second most commonly detected volatile organic compound in water. Acetone was reported at such high concentrations in sample 7GI 13Wp that a rerun was requested from the lab. As of this writing, results of this rerun have not been received. Comparing PAH concentrations to the ERM and Ostaszewski's contaminant classification indicates that waters are not impacted with respect to PAHs. There is no USEPA drinking water primary or secondary maximum contaminant level established for PAHs.

No pesticides or aroclors (PCBs) were detected in the water sample from the Detroit River. Only aroclors were detected in the water sample from the river piezometer, and then only at the method-reporting limit. Site 7GI 5 was the only site with notable aroclor concentrations reported. At all other sites, aroclor concentrations, when reported, were at the method-reporting limit. Comparing aroclor concentrations to the QL and Ostaszewski's contaminant classification indicates that the waters are not impacted; however, the sum of aroclors does exceed the USEPA drinking water standards for PCBs. The pesticide gamma chlordane was reported in water samples from 3 sites, again at the method-reporting limit.

Of greater concern are surface impoundments of water on the Island and their potential to transmit contaminants off the Island during periods of precipitation and overland flow. Currently there is an overflow weir and discharge chamber on the northeast corner of the Island. This weir
and discharge chamber is connected to a pipe that discharges surface flows into the Detroit River at an indeterminate point. Flow of water into this system is apparently by ground water seepage, and during periods of precipitation, possibly by overland flow. A water quality sample was collected from the weir but was not analyzed because of budget limitations.

There is a small surface impoundment of water that flows into the weir; however, at the northwest end of the Island and the southern end of the Island there are large areas (greater than 1 h (3 acres)) of standing water. This water may discharge through the weir, although it is more likely that these ponded waters increase in volume by precipitation and overland runoff, and lose content by evaporation and transpiration, not discharge through the weir. No obvious areas of seepage through the containment dikes were observed, nor were there any obvious areas of breaching or failure.

Large numbers of waterfowl were observed on these ponds. It is likely that some of these waterfowl use the Island as a nesting area and raise their broods on the Island (Douglas Spencer, USFWS, oral commun., 1997; Bruce Manny, written commun., 1997, 1998; Dave Best, USFWS, written commun., 1998). Other migratory birds were also observed on the Island, along with deer and groundhogs. Numerous groundhog burrows were noted at locations along the upper dike, generally near the top of the dike and at a level well above any ponded water.

In general, the data collected for this study indicate that contaminants on Grassy Island are contained in the sediments on the Island and are not moving from the Island to the surrounding sediments or waters at detectable levels. Native parent materials are generally identified as glaciolacustrine clays, which have low hydraulic conductivities ($10^{-7}$-$10^{-10}$ cm/s ($10^{-2}$-$10^{-5}$ gal/day/ft$^2$); Freeze and Cherry, 1979, p. #29) and thus are poor conduits for the transmission of contaminants. Most contaminants reported in the sediments and water on the Island are found neither in the parent materials, nor in the water of the Detroit River immediately downstream of the Island. Because of the low hydraulic conductivity of the underlying sediments on the Island, it is unlikely that surface water is able to infiltrate into the ground-water system, and therefore is also unlikely that the ground water is moving laterally or vertically through the Island in significant quantities. It is more likely that the precipitation that falls on the Island is transported into and through the more permeable surface materials, from which it is directly evaporated into the atmosphere or incorporated into vegetation, from which it evapotranspires into the atmosphere.

### ADDITIONAL DATA AND STUDY NEEDS

#### Soils and Sediments

In order to make statements about the connection between Island soils, the nature of contaminated dredge spoils placed on the Island, and the underlying substrate, additional geophysical investigations and additional drilling of cores are needed. To fully compare the quality of sediments and water on the Island to those in the surrounding riverine environment, additional samples are needed upstream from, downstream from, and lateral to the Island. Studies of contaminants in the vegetation growing on the Island should be made to determine what role, if any, vegetation plays in the movement of contaminants from the sediments to ground water, surface water, and wildlife. It is likely that phragmites, laurel, cottonwood, willow and other plants are vectors for chemical exchange between sediments, ground water, surface water, the atmosphere, and
wildlife. Plants could also function as a mechanism for movement of semivolatile and volatile contaminants in the root zone. The contaminant content of soil and sediment-dwelling invertebrates should also be sampled.

**Surface water**

Surface-water altitudes and flow measurements would be needed to study the relation between ground and surface water on the Island. To determine what, if any, connection there is between ground and surface-water quality, further studies of water quality are needed to identify the contaminants, if any, that are present in ponded surface water on the Island and in water being discharged from the island through the weir. Event sampling of surface-water flow and quality in overland runoff and at the discharge weir is needed to document any connection between ponds on the north end of the Island and the overflow weir, and to document the quality and quantity of discharges to the Detroit River through the weir.

**Ground Water**

Only generalized ground-water levels were collected during this project, and it is therefore not possible to make quantifiable statements about the direction or rate and volume of ground- and surface-water flow. The data collected met the limited needs of this study to assess baseline concentrations of selected contaminants in water. However, if further study is to be made of ground-water and surface-water movement and transport of contaminants on the Island, additional data collection sites will be required for more thorough monitoring of ground-water levels. Additional piezometers and wells are needed on the Island, around its perimeter, and in the Detroit River to determine, on a finer scale, the ground-water surface and the potential for movement of ground water into either the Detroit River or underlying sediments. Water levels will need to be measured on a more frequent and regular, long-term basis in order to develop a map of the ground-water surface.

**Atmosphere**

Atmospheric monitoring (wet and dry precipitation) should also be studied to determine current transport and depositional patterns of airborne contaminants over the Island. The US EPA (1974) characterized the section of river in which Grassy Island is located as an area of heavy steel and chemical manufacturing. A number of industries upwind from the Island likely discharge significant amounts of contaminants into the air. These contaminants are then transported over the Island by prevailing winds and may be deposited on the Island by gravity and precipitation.
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